

Minutes
Public Safety Committee
December 8, 2021, 4:00 pm, Legislative Chambers
Gerace Office Building, Mayville, NY
Livestreamed on Chautauqua County's Facebook

Members Present: Niebel, Pavlock, Whitford

Members Absent: Bankoski, Hemmer

Others: Tampio, Ames, Zink, Dennison, Chagnon, Guttman, Peters, Contiguglia, Swan, Telford, Riley

Complete video of meeting can be viewed on the County's Facebook Page

Chairman Niebel called the meeting to order at 4:03 p.m.

Approval of Minutes (11/10/21)

MOVED by Legislator Pavlock, SECONDED by Legislator Whitford

Unanimously Carried

Privilege of the Floor

No one to speak during the privilege of the floor.

Proposed Resolution – FY2021 State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)

Guttman: This is the SHSP grant which is the multi-year grant that we got several years in a row.

Niebel: This is a three year grant?

Guttman: Yes. This is a grant that benefits both the sheriff's office and our office for different services provided funding and different programs. This one here is from September 1st, of 2021 through August 31st of 2024. It will be interesting as I'm learning what these grants they often times run- multiple grants run simultaneously so they get a little confusing at times. But this is a grant that we've got for several years.

Niebel: This is for \$170,000 Noel? For this grant?

Guttman: Yes.

Niebel: Committee, any questions for Noel or Bonnie on this one? Ok, those in favor? Opposed?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution – Amend 2022 Budget to Implement the Emergency Services Message Boards Project within the Chautauqua County American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) Spending Plan

Guttman: So basically all the rest of them that I can see on the front that we are up for were our submitted ARPA projects. These are the message boards that would be used to utilize by the fire service and emergency services to- during an event they are a tow behind vehicle we've all seen them on the roads. They can (*inaudible*) put up a message. They really do help keep our traffic or we if we have a planned event we could put those out to help our traffic to get- a key example I use is this summer we had a water emergency at the Dunkirk- we had guys using sharpies and cardboard markers to make (*inaudible*) to signs put up on the road to direct people into getting water.

Niebel: Was that Brigham Road?

Guttman: Yes. People out there actually using cardboard and holding it up with pallets to get people to direct them the proper way. These would be obviously available if the fire services deploy on emergency priorities first and then any type of planned event secondary. Ideally, looking to get two of them position of one with the fire police units, one in Ashville, one in our office so they can be re-positioned quickly around the county as needed.

Niebel: As a matter of fact on Brigham Road, I saw Julie out there. So yeah, a needed project. Committee, any other questions on this one. Those in favor? Opposed?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution – Amend 2022 Budget to Implement the Emergency Services Office Upgrades Project within the Chautauqua County American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) Spending Plan

Guttman: So this is a upgrade of our- a lot of the technology in the UFC room to include some updated zoom technology like everyone we've had to learn adapt to zoom which we all realize is here to stay. Trying to run a large scale meeting off of an iPad in front of a room with zoom is not exactly the most efficient manner as we've found out unfortunately and we're looking to purchase some units like sitting here beside us- and several computer as well as upgrading our UFC to better reflect how we want to use it in the future in the needs of the county. Make it a little more technology user friendly and a little more safe – efficient working spaces for what we're doing. A large component of that is technology and upgrading computers.

Niebel: Committee, any questions of Noel and Bonnie?

Chagnon: Mr. Chairman if I might?

Niebel: Yes, please.

Chagnon: Noel and Bonnie, on this ARPA projects, do you have current bids so that we're sure that these amounts that were in the ARPA plan are correct?

Guttman: All the numbers we used, I used off of either state bid websites or GSA websites so they would be reflected of the competitive bidding numbers.

Chagnon: Okay, and they will be valid in 2022?

Guttman: Yes.

Chagnon: Okay, thank you.

Niebel: Noel, would that be the same for all of these other resolutions too?

Guttman: All the pricing that we used – the only one that didn't get a fair and accurate number was the paving and that's just such a fluctuating number and that's another one down the road. But for all the projects I used either the New York State hires contract, GSA advantage pricing or the stuff we normally purchase – that's where I got all my prices from.

(Inaudible)

Niebel: Alright, those in favor? Opposed?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution – Amend 2022 Budget to Implement the Office of Emergency Services (OES) Emergency Medical Service (EMS) Gear Project within the Chautauqua County American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) Spending Plan

Guttman: This is to purchase two of our life pack cardiac monitor defibrillator units and three of the Lucas Automatic CPR compressional devices so that all of our Fly Cars and two ambulances have *(Inaudible)* of equipment. These are true lifesaving equipment that are needed to make sure – right now we have fly cars that we don't have it in and when its used it has to be taken from another vehicle so this is to get our equipment *(Inaudible)* for those vehicles up.

Niebel: But now you have this equipment in the other three fly cars?

Guttman: Yeah, so two of the fly cars don't have the life sav- the automatic CPR device and the ambulance does not have one in there. As well as the efficiency of health care provided it's also amazing safety aspect and if you've ever had the unfortunate privilege of doing CPR in a moving vehicle, it's not exactly fun nor safe. I'm unfortunate I've done it many times and this device takes that inherent safety feature away and it makes it much safer for everyone involved.

Niebel: Thanks. Paul?

Whitford: Is this part of the ALS program rather than the BLS?

Niebel: Yes, well actually it's both because it's CPR. CPR is for everybody.

Niebel: It could be either.

Guttman: ALS providers can do more of the – obviously the more advanced protocols in it but this will be able – Lucas CPR devices would be able to be used by any fireman. Many fire departments have them or should say several fire departments have them so the pool of trained people out there that could assist right off the bat is a pretty high pool already. And the life pack cardiac monitor defibrillators are functioned first as AED. There's an AED as you'd see anywhere from any public access building. You put the pads on, turn the unit on and it tells you what buttons to press. And then for the advanced care providers they can do the cardiac (*Inaudible*) capabilities, another advanced cardiac lifesaving interventions.

Niebel: Okay, any other questions? Those in favor? Opposed?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution – Amend 2022 Budget to Implement the Office of Emergency Services Dive Boat Project within the Chautauqua County American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) Spending Plan

Guttman: So this is to replace the current dive boat which is still currently out of service due to a (*Inaudible*) haul failure, the inflatable failure on the haul. This would be able to get us a boat a little more efficient and safe for our water operations both on Lake Erie and Chautauqua Lake. The current dive boat purchase was adequate for the mission. As the team evolved and developed and techniques have evolved and developed it is just not proven to be as efficient as it could be so this is to replace that boat.

Niebel: And these thing run about \$270,000 now or?

Guttman: Well boats could run a lot higher. The number we put in for was actually a pretty conservative number for the purchase. We're talking half million, three quarter million if you really get into the really super high end boats. We're obviously looking to go for a more efficient, more (*inaudible*) conservative type option.

Niebel: Okay but this will suffice for what we need it for?

Guttman: Yeah, I think I put in for 135, I'm not sure where the 270 came in.

Dennison: Chairman, if I could interject?

Niebel: Sure

Dennison: All of these are for Resolutions when you look at the total and essentially double what the *(Inaudible)* cost. There are changes in both *(Inaudible)*. So, we have to increase the appropriations in both bonds and increase revenues in both bonds. So the actual cost of the project is \$135,000.

Guttman: I would love \$270,000 for it but I wasn't that brave enough to ask.

Dennison: Unfortunately, this isn't going to get- this ones not going to get it for you.

Guttman: Yeah, while I would love that, 135 is what I put in for.

Niebel: That should suffice?

Guttman: Yes.

Pavlock: With some of these equipment purchases, we have the facilities to be able to store them?

Guttman: Yes.

Pavlock: Inside *(Inaudible)* - Obviously the new signs would fit *(inaudible)*.

Guttman: Yeah

Pavlock: It's good to keep things inside and make them last.

Guttman: Yeah, all the stuff with be under shelter somewhere.

Pavlock: Another question I had was that with the purchase of the equipment, technology department is- foresee any extra costs installing and maintaining any of the new electronic stuff?

Guttman: Anything computers like in any department there – we all know every computer does have a life to it. I'm sure that in five years the zoom device that they came out with now will be much better than what is out there today. But we're asking for realistic items that are current and will meet all of the standards today. We have computers in our office that have been stretched to their life and just function as a paper way at this point. Unfortunately, the day you buy a computer it goes out of date. I think we've all experienced that but we're trying to find the most effective use *(Inaudible)*.

Whitford: Do you have any idea of what *(Inaudible)*

Guttman: The other one was 17 years. I would expect more out of this one cause it'll be a different design. It won't have as many – would not have the inflatable parts to it that the other one does so that will add a little more durability to it.

Niebel: Okay, those in favor of this resolution? Opposed?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution – Amend 2022 Budget to Implement the Emergency Services Paving Project within the Chautauqua County American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) Spending Plan

Guttman: So this was a joint request between the Health Department and our office to do a paving project up at our office – our back storage building. When that building was built it had garage doors on both sides of it, front and back. Unfortunately, it only had paving in the front. It was - I'm not sure why (*Inaudible*) just I think there was financial issues at the time.

Niebel: Noel, this is up here at the Mayville School?

Guttman: Yeah, our building behind our office and you know with the last year and a half of activity of drive thru clinics, vaccination sites we had the issues of finding the appropriate site, getting the appropriate paperwork submitted and with the ability to do this project here paving this will have building that the county owns that we can basically move a couple vehicles out into the parking lot, it would have a building suitable for any type of a drive thru clinic whatever the needs of the county might be at that time for a building we control, own and don't have to do paperwork on to get control of. Logistically, it's a sound idea that whether it's a county health project, whether it's our project whether- whatever it is it'll be a simple and managed project.

Niebel: Committee, questions of Noel or Bonnie? Those in favor? Opposed?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution – Amend 2022 Budget to Implement the Office of Emergency Services (OES) Communications Project within the Chautauqua County American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) Spending Plan

Guttman: So this last one is for communications. Every issue, every incident, every training, every critique there's always one continuous common theme and that's the issue of communications. We have- I feel we have a good robust system in the county that still – it will always be improving, always be growing in needs. One of those needs is unfortunate due to the cost (*Inaudible*) project, you know we'll be on calls and I'll give you a recent example. We had a rope rescue on the cliffs of Lake Erie this summer and I (*Inaudible*) told someone to go get some equipment out of the truck that pulled in a quarter mile away and they're like "well no one up there has a radio". So one guy had to run up, tell another guy to run up and we had to do a little relay back and forth until another person that got there with a radio – and this will help identify that problem by putting more radios in the field it'll help increase the efficiency plus there is some – the newer style radios have some improved features that we could utilize on fire ground and emergency's. Communication are always the number one issue and anybody that's ever been involved in any type of incident it's just always the number one topic like "Yeah communications could have been involved by these five different reasons, three different reasons".

Niebel: It's important as far as time.

Guttman: Yeah.

(Inaudible)

Niebel: Alright, those in favor?

Dennison: Mr. Chairman?

Niebel: Yes?

Dennison: When we drafted this resolution, you'll see that the capital project number was *(Inaudible)*

Niebel: Okay.

Dennison: *(Inaudible)* Change the X's to numbers. It would be easier but I don't know if it's proper. So under established increase appropriation *(Inaudible)*

Niebel: We'll treat that as a typo. So we don't need an amendment. Those in favor? Opposed?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution – Authorize Acceptance of 2022 Crimes Against Revenue Program (“CARP”) Funds

Contiguglia: This is a grant that we received from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services that provides partial funding for an ADA's salary and Fringe and then it provides a fully funded part-time senior investigator. They're devoted to investigating and prosecuting crimes against revenue which would include labor fraud, unemployment fraud, welfare fraud, anything that involves money and state money in particular. So they work closely with the office of the state comptroller and the department of taxation and finance to identify any revenue crimes that occur in Chautauqua County and then prosecute those individuals responsible. We've had this grant for many years and this is just a continuation of the program into 2022. It runs from January 1st of 2022 through December 31st of 2022. It was included in our adopted 2022 budget so basically it's a continuation of what we're already receiving.

Niebel: One year grant for 65,000?

Coniguglia: Correct.

Niebel: Committee, any questions or comments? Those in favor? Opposed?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution – Amend 2022 Budget to Implement the District Attorney Office Expansion Project in the Chautauqua County American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) Spending Plan

Contiguglia: So we're hoping to amend our budget to include the expense associated with the DA's renovations as we heard in the project planning meetings that the DA's office is too small for the staff that we have. We are hoping to expand into the adjacent print shop and it will require some remodel of the floor, the walls, painting and then equipping it with furniture. We had been recommended for funding for this project in 2022 by the county planning board. It was reviewed and recommended at the November meeting and it's also been included in the American Rescue Plan. It was the Resolution number of 202-21 so it was recommended for funding by the American Rescue Plan Committee and so we would like to amend our budget to include the expense associated with that. The total expense that we've estimated is \$70,000 and it's broken down into the categories of \$5,000 for architecture, \$20,000 for construction, \$13,000 for equipment and \$32,000 for furniture.

Nieble: Committee, any questions?

Pavlock: It's well needed.

Contiguglia: We're all kind of on top of each other down there. If you come to our office it's kind of a straight line and we're all just kind of sitting on top of each other.

Niebel: This will spread you out a little bit.

Contiguglia: Yes, it will spread us out a little bit and it will consolidate some areas so that everybody can work closely together. It would give us a private conference room and a private interview room.

(Inaudible)

Pavlock: Local companies doing the architecture, construction, and providing equipment?

Contiguglia: Yeah I believe we'd have to go with the companies that have the bids with Chautauqua County and I believe Fredrickson's in Cassadaga has bid on the construction equipment and then we'd be using the county buildings and grounds to help us with the actual construction and I believe they would help us navigate with whom we have bid and I think they are all local companies. I know LaBella did the architecture quote and I think we are going to use them again if we're permitted to through the bids that we have. So I'll have to check with the purchasing department and buildings and grounds to see where we can buy from.

Pavlock: Same type of a question for furniture. Is it an agency that it's through the bid program?

Contiguglia: Yes, anytime we have to purchase large amounts of equipment like this or furniture, I usually go to the purchasing department and they'll tell us who has the contract, the bid for the type of equipment or furniture we're looking for and for how long and so I will

definitely check with them before we make any purchases and everything will go through purchase orders and approvals through the proper channels.

Niebel: Dan, good questions. Anything else? Those in favor? Opposed?

Unanimously Carried

Local Law Intro 4-21 – Amending Local Law 7-90 Providing for a Management Salary Plan For county officers and employers (Re: Undersheriff, Captain, Warden and Corrections Lieutenant)

Riley: So the resolution today is you can see we're proposing to change – to move the title from the current range to a higher level range. What precipitated the discussion on or the need with the sheriff to move these titles was really based on if we go back in time to what we did with the DSAC – the negotiation with the DSAC contract. So, with DSAC the most senior person salary's ultimately by the end of their contract will be above what any of these positions have currently – have the opportunity. So the top of the range is what shuts off any future increases for anyone in these titles. So the first thing is, everyone is one of these titles except for the warden are at the top of the range. So if we didn't do the Local Law to increase the ranges next year by 3%, everybody on this list – every title on this list but the warden would not have received a pay increase. So for next year, this will – because we agreed to adjust the range these titles would have an opportunity to get an increase. After 2022, the warden then will also be at the top of the range which would mean anybody in any of these titles would not be able to get an increase. So then we talk about – well are these ranges in comparison to the market? Are these – are we in the ballpark with the ranges? So, we were able to get at Mr. Chairman Niebel's request, –

Niebel: I only asked for three or four. How many have you got?

Riley: Well the sheriff - the sheriff actually – we have 32 counties that we were – we were able to obtain the sheriffs annual salary as well as the undersheriff. So, I mean you can see the way these – there's a progression so by having the data on the undersheriff that really reflects on the rest of them. Just to give you some statistics, the average salary, so if we take all 32 counties and we average the salary for an undersheriff, the average salary is 99.9 thousand a year. The median –

Niebel: And Jean, that's above our current top rate for the –

Riley: Right, well yeah exactly so our current cap is 95. So we wouldn't be able to pay the average undersheriff salary to any undersheriff because it would be above our cap. The median, which is the middle so we take all 32 counties and we find out what the middle salary is \$98,000. So again, above our cap. So that's why we're proposing to move the undersheriff title from an 8 to an 11 and then the other ones fall into place based on the progression. So all that is doing is providing an opportunity. It has no impact to the budget today. What's budgeted for 2022 is what's budgeted but what would happen in 2023 if we did nothing to adjust the ranges and I'm going to tell you – we shouldn't be having to do local laws to adjust these ranges which is why I've been looking at having a third party just redo them all and to make sure they will do

market comparisons and we would rebuild these ranges because amending the ranges every year shouldn't happen once we have these things set we should be able – we shouldn't have to look at the ranges for five years. So when we do our budgets and we budget for increases and the budgets get approved that's all we should have to do. We shouldn't have to be constantly saying – doing a local law amendment to adjust the ranges. The ranges – these are old, they hadn't been looked at for many years.

Pavlock: How old is old?

Riley: Oh, at least eleven years – I don't even know yeah I don't know if they even were looked at once they put them – once they went into effect. I know nothing has been looked at in the last eleven years and again salary ranges are how you determine what you're going to pay somebody when they come on board when you hire them and so if you don't keep that – if you don't keep up with them and make sure that you're adjusting when it's necessary, you're eventually going to have this problem and your ranges really aren't going to mean anything. I think when we – I you know, went before the committees and the legislature on getting the 3% adjustment, if you look at the – if you look at the – for years they didn't do anything to the bottoms of the ranges so now we have these huge ranges that really cannot be utilized to try and recruit somebody because clearly you're never going to bring somebody in at the bottom of the range and you can see in these ranges we would never do that. So then one other thing as far as a comparison, I talked about the DSAC, if we didn't do any adjustments to ranges the deputy sheriffs would make more in a base salary than the correction lieutenants in 2022 which is a higher level. In 2023, the DSAC sheriffs would make more than the warden. In 2024 and 25, the DSAC sheriffs would make more than the captain so by moving these, it's just providing an opportunity and I really feel as though if we don't make this shift we're going to be limited in what we can do internally as far as promotion opportunity's within the sheriffs organization.

Niebel: Or retention?

Riley: Mhm. Because you can see I have 32 – they can go to another county and clearly make a lot more in some cases.

(Inaudible)

Whitford: I have one question. Now you talk about DSAC and they have a collective bargaining agreement so they negotiate every year. Wouldn't you be better off, I mean after this to have something that connects with that, that automatically kicks in the raises for these positions they move those ranges like let's say they got 2% over it's a three year agreement or whatever and then what would happen is that these positions would automatically kick in their ranges would go up 2% from the bottom all the way to the top. Rather than to keep coming back here and trying to figure out – because I'm sure those other averages you know the average in the middle, I mean certainly they got that way because they had continually changed their ranges so you know personally you would look at some program that could be developed that theses would automatically keep that much ahead of those other positions so that you know you wouldn't have that recruiting problem and people going to another county that isn't as *(Inaudible)*

Riley: Thank you, that's technically when we redo the ranges for all the management titles and we place them accordingly, that should buy us a window of at least five years where we don't have to look at these ranges ever because we would be placing the jobs based on market data into the appropriate range that would allow for them – we wouldn't place it in a job in a range that would – that somebody ultimately in a year would be at the top of the range, if the market data proves that out. I can honestly say from looking at all these titles and looking at data because all the time I get requests from departments heads to look – to get market data say on nurses and things like that so I'm constantly looking at things like that and we will place the jobs accordingly and to the proper ranges when we rebuild these and we won't have to look at them – we shouldn't have to look at them for at least five years.

Whitford: The reason I even suggest that is that you kind of indicated your frustration in having to do a local law so you know there has to be some trigger where you won't have to come and do a local law and be able to make these adjustments as the county meets. That's why I suggested something (*Inaudible*).

Riley: Yeah, and if we will by redoing these will – it will cover us for years to come on you know some years when the economy's not so bad average increases might be 2% and if the top of the range from the midpoint to the top of the range is you know, we'll figure out what we want that percentage to be. It should be fine – we should be fine for years.

Whitford: Yeah, if this will carry it for just – you said five years or possibly longer, you know it isn't an immediate thing to develop to (*Inaudible*)

Riley: And I've done this before in private sector. I've rebuilt - I've done comp structures from scratch and I know – I can tell you I know what needs to be done so that we – and I've worked with professionals so I've learned from them. HR people some specialize in compensation by the way so I've been able to really absorb a lot of information and that's – if we do this right, we're going to be fine for several years to come.

Niebel: Dan, any questions?

Pavlock: Comment, more so. I'm okay with a range category because the range has a cap and sometimes you get into a situation where the percentaging increases keep – sometimes that's where the range where we want to stay in. Those increases put you above and beyond and – so the cap is nice and hey if we have to change it, we have to change it and we make a local law it's not a – the range sometimes protects you from getting you all those extra – it's a control thing and sometimes it's needed. I've sat in on other boards and other agencies and I'm very thankful we had a range because as it was we were on the top of the scales compared to others and the range was even keeping us - more control so we're thankful we had them. It's a good and bad thing –

Riley: It is and I'm going to tell you when I've redone some structures in my careers that I mentioned, we had that dilemma where we had employees that had worked for company for many many years, did a great job, got a lot of raises and when we slotted their job we said “they're over the top of the range for what this job is” but when they retire and we replace them we're going to replace them with a salary that's within market with what other people are paying

for that same person, right. But we had make hard decisions about “What do we do with these people that are technically over the limit- or over the cap?” And then you make in private sector you have a little more leeway to make some decisions on what you can do with those people because you still want to reward them in some form or fashion but that’s absolutely right. The caps are there for a reason because the market only will pay – the market only pays what the market will pay but then you – as I was talking to the Chairman earlier, it’s an art in a science so science is the market data, the art is how you blend that in and make it work in your organization. So you have to determine internally, what is that value of these positions as well? So, that’s why it’s not just – it’s not a real clear-cut thing and that’s why people specialize in compensation is to make it right and make it work for your organization.

Niebel: Jean, just a little bit different take than what Paul had but look as far as undersheriff, the captain, the warden, the corrections lieutenant these folks belong to bargaining units? Okay so –

Riley: They don’t.

Niebel: Oh they don’t? Okay, well I knew the undersheriff didn’t cause that’s just one person but the warden, captain – these are all stand alone?

Riley: Yes, there all management.

Niebel: Okay, so they don’t have a bargaining unit that could bargain not only the salary percentage salary increases but possibly ranges?

Riley: Correct. They don’t have any –

Niebel: This is something that’s set by us.

Riley: Mhm.

Niebel: Okay, and your – I don’t know if it’s a suggestion or thought would be to have a third party possibly to look these things at some point in time?

Riley: Yes. I’ve actually have reached out to several - through the RFP process to look at what’s available and what the cost would be.

Niebel: And why is that? You don’t think we can do it in house or we don’t have the time or staff?

Riley: Well, that’s the issue. Especially because it should be done sooner than later and next year we will be negotiating the big contract that I think is going to be very time consuming.

Niebel: Alright well these increases – there are some significant jumps in ranges. Some of the ranges go up 2, one of them goes up 3 and of course there is fiscal implications, maybe not so much in 2022 but going forward. But Jean I do want to compliment you and the sheriff for – I

had asked Jim to look into two or three or four counties – you guys have looked into what 30, 32 counties.

Riley: Actually there's 34 but there appears to be a couple of part-time – they must have a part time undersheriff. They must be a smaller county so I didn't include – I kind of took them out of the – like Warren County, their undersheriff must be part-time because -

Niebel: But in the sample size is much bigger than I had suggested. So look, if you and the sheriff are comfortable with these proposed increases, I am if this is what we need to retain these folks or maybe attract people in the future, I'm fine with this.

Riley: Thank you

Niebel: Okay Committee anything else for Jean? Those in favor? Opposed? Carried.

Proposed Resolution- Amend 2021 & 2022 Budgets for Capital Projects

Telford: This proposal is to amend and to combine a couple capital projects. Two have already been approved and acted on which is the jail oven and the jail mixer or I'm sorry the jail mixer is 20 - all my numbers are running together. Meal carts is on board for 2022. We came in a little under budget on the jail oven. We believe we're going to be under budget on the meal carts and we recently had a pretty urgent need for a new steam kettle in the jail kitchen. This is something that's used every day, it's used to cook large amounts of food at one time and we believe that if we combine the capital projects into one that we should and hopefully will be able to obtain a new steam kettle as part of that process.

Niebel: Committee, any questions? Okay, so Rich and Jenn so these are capital projects for the jail – a new jail kitchen oven, kitchen mixer, meal carts and a steam kettle?

Telford: The first three that you listed have already been submitted and approved. The steam kettle is a new purchase that just recently came up and according to our staff it's on its last leg and its used every day and it's just of those – to find that sort of money out of our operating budget we were struggling to do.

Niebel: These are all good projects.

Dennison: Mr. Chairman, just to comment. (*Inaudible*) a little bit unusual because the jail meal carts – the budget for that is approved and it's in the 2022 adopted budget.

Niebel: Kathleen, you said meal carts?

Dennison: Meal carts for \$30,000. We decided to decrease the appropriation that department or project actually before it even begins and just as the undersheriff said just kind of make that combined project. So when we look at we're increasing the interfund transfers to (*Inaudible*) it's not that we're (*Inaudible*) not using the capital reserve, it's just to realign the projects (*Inaudible*)

Niebel: Okay, Kathleen thanks for that clarification. Any further questions? Those in favor? Opposed?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution - Authorize MOU with E2CCB and Chautauqua Lake Central School for Incarcerated Youth Program at the Chautauqua County Jail

Telford: This is authorization on a MOU between the jail, E2CCB Chautauqua Lake Central School. In a nut shell it provides a service to our jail and our inmates for certain youths under the age of 21 that are eligible for instruction and the ability to take a GED exam while they're in jail. E2CCB and Chautauqua Lake would provide that to our inmates. There is no cost to the sheriff's office, it's just an agreement between the three parties.

Niebel: Rich, just technical question. Did this start September 1st of this past year?

Telford: I believe this is a new or renewal?

Swan: It's a renewal.

Telford: It's a renewal.

Niebel: So it's already in progress?

Telford: Yes.

Niebel: And goes to June of next year?

Telford: That is correct, yes. And it is a – it's part of the State Corrections Law that we offer this to individuals that meet the criteria that get this instruction and ability to achieve their GED.

Pavlock: So this is done at the jail?

Telford: At the jail, correct. Instructors would come into jail for that instruction.

Pavlock: *(Inaudible)*

Telford: And we certainly hope with education and a GED that you know, that once they get out it would assist them in getting a job or – you know and not returning, exactly.

Pavlock: It gives them something to do while they're in jail.

Telford: And this is true too.

Niebel: Those in favor? Opposed?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution- Authorize Agreements with Various Towns for Court Security Services
FY22

Telford: This is a renewal of – we’ve provided this service for several years. We’ve provided court security to several town and village courts in years past. We are looking to contract with six townships to provide court security. Each of the townships have a different cap for the cost throughout the year. If we encounter the cap toward the end of the year, we reach out to those towns to either amend what the agreement is for – we have not run into that in recent memory and – you know so it’s pretty much a renewal of a court security for these six townships.

Niebel: Committee, any further questions?

Pavlock: *(Inaudible)*

Telford: That is correct, yes.

Niebel: Those in favor? Opposed?

Unanimously Carried

Proposed Resolution- Authorize Agreements with Various Entities for Enhanced Police
Protection Services FY22

Telford: Again, it’s a basically a repeat of years past. The town of Ripley is the biggest one they’ve contracted to have extra patrols in the township. Chautauqua Lake Central also contracts – they will ask for some coverage for different events at the school and the town of Charlotte in the last couple of years has also requested extra police protection. We’ll provide it a couple days during the month. With Ripley and Charlotte on patrol we do rotate. We do mix up the dates and the times of that coverage and again if either one of the towns have a specific request we will provide coverage for those dates and times and again – each of these agreements have caps on them.

Niebel: Committee, any questions? Those in favor? Opposed? Carried.

MOVED by Legislator Pavlock, SECONDED by Legislator Whitford to adjourn.

Unanimously Carried (4:57 p.m.)

Respectfully submitted and transcribed,
Kathy K. Tampio, Clerk of the Legislature/Kristi R. Zink, Sr. Stenographer